# **Environmental Impact Assessment Report**

**Teindland Wind Farm** 

Volume 1

Chapter 2: EIA Methodology

Document prepared by Envams Ltd for: Teindland Wind Farm Ltd

April 2025







# Contents

2	EIA Methodology					
	2.1	Introduction	2			
	2.2	EIA Process	2			
	2.3	EIA Scoping	2			
	2.4	Regulations and Good Practice Guidance	3			
	2.5	Technical Consultation	4			
	2.6	Public Consultation	4			
	2.6.1	Project Website	.4			
	2.6.2	Public Consultation Events	.4			
	2.7	Gate Check	5			
	2.8	Content of the EIAR	5			
	2.9	Establishing a Baseline	5			
	2.9.1	Future Baseline	.6			
	2.10	Significance of Effect	6			
	2.10.4	4 Identifying Significance of Effect	.7			
	2.10.					
	2.11	Mitigation	8			
	2.11.	1 Development of Design, Impact Avoidance & Mitigation	. 8			
	2.11.	2 Monitoring	.8			
	2.11.	3 Residual Effects	.8			
	2.12	Assumptions and Limitations	9			



# 2 EIA METHODOLOGY

# 2.1 INTRODUCTION

The Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) process identifies and evaluates the potential significant effects of a proposed development on the environment, and outlines measures to mitigate or manage any adverse impacts. The results of the EIA for the proposed Teindland Wind Farm (the Development) on land owned by Forestry and Land Scotland approximately 3 km north of Rothes, Moray, (the Site) are presented in this EIA Report (EIAR). The Development is described in Chapter 4. This chapter summarises this process and the consultation undertaken up to the submission of the application.

# 2.2 EIA PROCESS

The EIA process involves the following steps:

- Site selection and feasibility;
- Screening to determine if an EIA is required (unless an applicant volunteers an EIA, as is the case with the Development);
- Pre-application consultation with statutory and non-statutory consultees;
- Scoping to identify the parameters of the assessment issues on which the EIA should focus;
- Baseline studies to establish the current environmental conditions at the Site;
- Identification of potential environmental effects, including any cumulative effects;
- Determination of appropriate mitigation to avoid or reduce the effects through iterative design process and other approaches;
- Assessment of any residual effects;
- Preparation and submission of the application, including the EIAR; Consideration of application and the EIAR by the Scottish Government, the relevant local authority (Moray Council), other statutory and non-statutory consultees and the public;
- Determination of the application; and
- Implementation and monitoring.

# 2.3 EIA SCOPING

As the Applicant volunteered to do an EIA, no Screening Opinion has been requested or received and none is needed.

The aim of the Scoping process is to identify environmental issues at an early stage, to determine which elements of the Development could likely have significant environmental effects and to identify any potential issues that can be 'scoped out' of the assessment. The Scoping exercise is used to inform the scope of surveys and assessments needed to inform the EIAR.

A request for a Scoping Opinion was submitted to the Scottish Government's Energy Consents Unit in July 2022 accompanied by an EIA Scoping Report which is included as Technical Appendix A2.1 within Volume 3 of this EIAR. Consultee responses and the Scoping Opinion issued by the Scottish Government's Energy Consents Unit (ECU) in September 2022 have been included within Technical Appendix A2.2 of the EIAR.

The following consultees and organisations responded to the EIA Scoping Opinion:

- Moray Council;
- Aberdeen International Airport;
- BT Radio Network Protection;
- Defence Infrastructure Organisation (DIO);
- Edinburgh Airport;
- Glasgow Airport;
- Glasgow Prestwick Airport;
- Historic Environment Scotland (HES);
- Highlands and Islands Airports Limited (HIAL);
- Joint Radio Company (JRC);
- Marine Scotland Science (MSS) Standing Advice;



- NATS Safeguarding;
- NatureScot;
- RSPB Scotland;
- Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA);
- Spey Fishery Board; and
- Transport Scotland.

This EIAR is based on the Scoping Opinion and advice contained within it regarding assessment methodology, topics and consultee comments. Accordingly the following topics have been **scoped in** to the EIA and are covered by the EIAR:

- Landscape and Visual (Chapter 5);
- Ecology (Chapter 6);
- Ornithology (Chapter 7);
- Archaeology and Cultural Heritage (Chapter 8);
- Noise (Chapter 9);
- Climate Change (Chapter 10);
- Access and Transport (Chapter 11);
- Hydrology, Hydrogeology and Soils (Chapter 12);
- Aviation (Chapter 13);
- Socio-economics, Recreation and Tourism, and Land Use (Chapter 14); and
- Other issues, including Shadow Flicker, Telecommunications and Utilities (Chapter 15).

Forestry is not a category of environmental receptor, so is not the subject of an assessment of likely significant effects. Rather, changes to the forestry plans are part of the Development proposals, and hence the proposed changes to forestry are set out in Technical Appendix A4.1. Any impacts of the forestry activity will be assessed in the relevant technical chapters listed above.

Each technical chapter summarises any issues raised during consultation with both statutory and non-statutory consultees during the EIA Scoping process and how those issues have been considered in the EIA process.

# 2.4 REGULATIONS AND GOOD PRACTICE GUIDANCE

The preparation and production of this EIAR has been conducted in accordance with relevant regulations and good practice guidance. The overarching regulation, policy and guidance documents that have been used in preparing this EIAR are:

- The Electricity Works (Environmental Impact Assessment) (Scotland) Regulations 2017<sup>1</sup> (as amended) ("the EIA Regulations");
- National Planning Framework 4 (NPF4)<sup>2</sup>;
- Planning Advice Note (PAN) 1/2013: Environmental Impact Assessment, 2013<sup>3</sup> which, whilst prepared to inform EIAs under the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997 as amended<sup>4</sup>, is also relevant to EIAs produced under the EIA Regulations;
- Planning Circular 1/2017: Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations, 2017<sup>5</sup>;

<sup>4</sup> Scottish Government (1997) Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997 (as amended). Available at: <u>https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1997/8/contents</u> [Accessed 24/02/2025].

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Scottish Government (2017) The Electricity Works (Environmental Impact Assessment) (Scotland) Regulations 2017 (as amended). Available at: <u>https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ssi/2017/101/contents/made</u> [Accessed 24/02/2025].
 <sup>2</sup> Scottish Government (2023) National Planning Framework 4 (NPF4). Available at: <u>https://www.gov.scot/publications/national-planning-framework-4/</u> [Accessed 24/02/2025].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Scottish Government (2013) Planning Advice Note (PAN) 1/2013: Environmental Impact Assessment. Available at: <u>https://www.gov.scot/publications/planning-advice-note-1-2013-environmental-impact-assessment/</u> [Accessed 24/02/2025].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Scottish Government (2017) Planning Circular 1/2017: Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations. Available at: <u>https://www.gov.scot/publications/planning-circular-1-2017-environmental-impact-assessment-regulations-2017/</u> [Accessed 24/02/2025].



- Environmental Impact Assessment Handbook (Scottish Natural Heritage, 2018)<sup>6</sup>; and
- Environmental Impact Assessment Guide to Delivering Quality Development (Institute of Environmental Management and Assessment [IEMA], 2016)<sup>7</sup>.

Further legislation, policy and guidance are also referred to in each of the relevant technical chapters.

# 2.5 TECHNICAL CONSULTATION

In addition to the formal Scoping process, where appropriate, authors of technical assessments within this EIAR have engaged directly with statutory and non-statutory consultees to further refine the scope for each assessment and have documented any such consultation within the relevant technical chapters.

# 2.6 PUBLIC CONSULTATION

Details of the public consultation undertaken for the Development are set out in the Pre-Application Consultation Report (PAC Report) provided along with this application, with relevant aspects summarised here.

Public engagement comprised the following principal activities:

- Letters to and meetings with Community Councils, local councillors and parliamentarians;
- Project website; and
- Public consultation events.

# 2.6.1 **Project Website**

The project website was hosted on one of the joint venture partners' websites: <u>https://uk.europeanenergy.com/our-projects/teindland-wind-farm/</u>. This webpage was continually updated to include all the information and materials prepared in relation to the Development including the information presented at the consultation events. The website also provided the facility to make comments directly to the project team through the use of a 'Proposal Feedback Form.' The website provides details of the Applicant, the Development, and the proposed timeline as well as the required application documents.

# 2.6.2 Public Consultation Events

A series of consultation events were held in June and November 2024 to present the Development to the public and provide an opportunity for information exchange. The events were advertised in the local press and letters to local people, including public representatives such as councillors and parliamentarians. The second round of events was also advertised by leaflet drop to over 9,000 local addresses.

The dates and venues were as follows:

- Wednesday 5th June 2024, 2:30pm to 7:30pm at The Grant Hall, Rothes;
- Thursday 6th June 2024, 10am to 5:30pm at The Fochabers Public Institute, Fochabers;
- Tuesday 26th November 2024, 10am to 3pm, at The Grant Hall, Rothes;
- Tuesday 26th November 2024, 5pm to 8pm, at Inchberry Hall, Inchberry; and
- Wednesday 27th November 2024, 1pm to 7pm, at The Fochabers Public Institute, Fochabers.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Scottish Natural Heritage and Historic Environment Scotland (2018) Environmental Impact Assessment Handbook: Guidance for competent authorities, consultation bodies, and others involved in the Environmental Impact Assessment process in Scotland. Available at:

https://web.archive.org/web/20220901050635/https://www.nature.scot/sites/default/files/2018-05/Publication%202018%20-%20Environmental%20Impact%20Assessment%20Handbook%20V5.pdf [Accessed

<sup>24/02/2025].</sup> 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Institute of Environmental Management and Assessment (IEMA) (2016) Environmental Impact Assessment Guide to Delivering Quality Development. Available at: <u>https://www.iema.net/content/iema-impact-assessment-guidance/</u> [Accessed 24/02/2025].



The project website was used to provide a virtual consultation between September and October 2024.

Approximately 30 people attended the June events, and 60 people attended the November events.

The feedback from the first round of public consultation events mostly centred around the visibility, noise, wildlife and access routes. The second round of public consultation events provided the opportunity to show the public the changes that had been made. The feedback on visibility was considered positive by the Applicant, with attendees happier with the 12 turbine proposals, following the previous 17 turbine scheme. Other than providing an opportunity for the public to offer their opinions, information that was provided by attendees at the consultation events of relevance to the EIA included information about how the Teindland Wood was used by the public for walking throughout the year and a motor rally once a year.

# 2.7 GATE CHECK

In line with the ECU procedure for Section 36 developments, a Gate Check report was issued to the ECU and statutory consultees once an advanced design had been reached in September 2024. The Gate Check report described how the design of the Development has evolved since the pre-scoping stage, highlighting influencing factors on the design either as a response to environmental constraints identified during the EIA process or through consultation feedback from statutory or non-statutory consultees.

A key element to the Gate Check report was the collation of Scoping responses with details on how the points raised by various consultees have been addressed and how this has influenced the design of the Development and the progression of the EIA. The Gate Check report is included as Technical Appendix A2.3 along with responses received in October 2024.

# 2.8 CONTENT OF THE EIAR

The EIAR conveys the findings of the assessment of the potential significant environmental effects of the Development during the project lifeline of enabling works, construction, operation and decommissioning. The EIA Regulations require that an EIAR should include a range of information including a description of the Development (Chapter 4: Development Description), a description of any reasonable alternatives explored by the developer and the decisions taken (Chapter 3: Site Selection and Design Evolution), baseline information, a description of the likely significant effects of the Development, and mitigation measures amongst other factors (Chapter 5-15). A non-technical summary of the EIAR is also to be provided (Volume 4 of the EIAR). The structure of this EIAR is presented in Chapter 1: Introduction.

Each of the technical chapters follow systematic approach with the main steps taken as follows:

- Introduction, including a summary of relevant consultation;
- Legislation, Policy and Guidance that is relevant to how the assessment is carried out;
- Assessment methodology and significance criteria;
- Baseline conditions;
- Assessment of potential effects;
- Cumulative effects assessment;
- Mitigation and residual effects;
- Summary of effects; and
- Statement of significance.

# 2.9 ESTABLISHING A BASELINE

To establish a baseline existing environmental conditions were recorded initially through desktop studies which were undertaken to gain a better understanding of the study area using appropriate parameters set by best practise guidance for each technical area. Site-specific baseline field surveys were then undertaken by experienced professionals to provide an understanding of the current condition of the development site and the



surrounding area. The methodology for data collection process and approach to describing baseline conditions is detailed within each topic chapter of the EIA. This includes the rationale for determining the study area for assessment (Chapter 5 to 15), as each discipline requires a bespoke study area.

The baseline information has been gathered from various sources including:

- Online/digital resources;
- Data searches, e.g. GroundSure, Historic Environment Record etc;
- Stakeholder engagement;
- Baseline site surveys; and
- Environmental information submitted in support of other planning applications for developments in the vicinity.

The baseline has been used to assess the sensitivity of receptors within the study areas. Constraints to development were identified using this baseline information and used to inform the design process and layout of the Development.

#### 2.9.1 Future Baseline

The future baseline conditions in the absence of the Development have also been considered in each topic chapter. However, future baseline predictions can involve a high number of variables and be subject to large uncertainties and, as a result, in some cases, the current baseline condition is assumed to remain unchanged throughout the timeframe of the Development. In Chapter 10, Climate Change, a qualitative review is undertaken whether climate change predictions would modify the future baseline to the point that it would change the results of the assessments undertaken in other chapters.

### 2.10 SIGNIFICANCE OF EFFECT

The purpose of an EIA is to identify the likely 'significance' of environmental effects (beneficial or adverse) with the potential to arise from a development. The significance of effects resulting from the Development will be determined through a combination of the sensitivity of the receiving environment (the sensitivity of receptors) and the predicted degree of change (the magnitude of change) from the baseline state. Within each technical chapter the assessment of effects will combine professional judgement together with consideration of the following:

- The extent of the effect (whether it is local, regional or national);
- The sensitivity of the resource or receptor under consideration;
- The magnitude of the potential effect in relation to the degree of change which occurs as a result of the Development;
- The nature of the effect (i.e., direct/indirect, adverse, beneficial or neutral);
- The probability of the effect occurring (i.e., certain, likely or unlikely);
- Duration of the effect (whether it is short term, medium or long term); and
- Whether the effect is temporary, permanent and/or reversible.

A generalised methodology for assessing significant effects is detailed below, however each individual technical area may have their own guidelines for assessment, and an explanation of their methodologies will be presented in the relevant topic chapter. The assessment of impact significance is undertaken using appropriate quality standards. Where no such standards exist, the professional judgement is applied and justification for the attributed significance provided.

# 2.10.1 Stages of Development

The prediction of potential significant effects covers the three phases of the Development: construction, operation and decommissioning, as different environmental effects are likely to arise during the different stages. The effects during construction and decommissioning are generally considered to be short term effects, and those arising as a result of the design and operation of the Development are generally considered to be long term effects.

#### 2.10.2 Sensitivity of Receptors

Environmental sensitivity may be categorised by multiple factors, such as the presence of rare or endangered species, transformation of natural landscapes, soil quality and land use



etc. The initial assessment, consultation and scoping stages of the EIA identified these factors along with the implications of the predicted changes.

The sensitivity classification of the receiving environment varies between the different technical areas of assessment e.g., landscape and visual, ecology, noise etc. Sensitivity is normally defined as very high, high, medium, low or negligible. Table 5.2 details a general framework for determining the sensitivity of receptors; however, each technical assessment will specify their own appropriate sensitivity criteria; in accordance with best practice guidance, legislation, statutory designations and / or professional judgement; that will be applied during the EIA and details of this will be provided in each technical chapter.

Sensitivity of Receptors	Definition
Very High	The receptor has little or no ability to absorb change without fundamentally altering its present character, is of very high environmental value, or of international importance.
High	The receptor has low ability to absorb change without fundamentally altering its present character, is of high environmental value, or of national importance.
Medium	The receptor has moderate capacity to absorb change without significantly altering its present character, has some environmental value, or is of regional importance.
Low	The receptor is tolerant of change without detriment to its character, is of low environmental value, or local importance.
Negligible	The receptor is resistant to change and is of little environmental value.

#### 2.10.3 Magnitude

For the purposes of environmental assessment, the magnitude of an 'effect' is generally dependent on the degree to which the change affects the feature or asset, from a fundamental, permanent or irreversible change that changes the character of the feature or asset, to barely perceptible changes that may be reversible. Magnitude would also encompass the certainty of whether an impact would occur. General criteria for assessing the magnitude of an effect are presented in Table 5.3. Each technical assessment will apply their own appropriate magnitude of effects criteria during the EIA, with the details provided in the relevant EIAR chapter.

Magnitude of Impact	Criteria for Assessing Effect			
Major	A fundamental change to the baseline condition of the asset, leading to total loss or major alteration of character.			
Moderate	A material, partial loss or alteration of character.			
Minor	A slight, detectable, alteration of the baseline condition of the asset.			
Negligible	A barely distinguishable change from baseline conditions.			

Table 5.3: Framework for Determining Magnitude of Change

# 2.10.4 Identifying Significance of Effect

The sensitivity of the receptor and the magnitude of the predicted change will be used as a guide, in addition to professional judgement, to predict the significance of the likely effects. The significance of an effect can be considered adverse or beneficial.

Table 5.4 summarises guideline criteria for assessing the significance of effects.



#### Table 5.4: Framework for Assessment of the Significance of Effects

Magnitude of Change	Sensitivity of Receptor					
Ŭ	Very High	High	Medium	Low	Negligible	
Major	Major	Major	Moderate	Moderate	Minor	
Moderate	Major	Moderate	Moderate	Minor	Negligible	
Minor	Moderate	Moderate	Minor	Negligible	Negligible	
Negligible	Minor	Minor	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	

#### 2.11 MITIGATION

#### 2.11.1 Development of Design, Impact Avoidance & Mitigation

The design process for the Development has been informed by early technical surveys and the EIA Scoping process. The Development design has thus evolved to include measures to avoid, reduce or mitigate potential impacts on the environment based on legal obligations and current good practice guidelines.

Mitigation measures applied include:

- Changes to the Development design;
- Physical measures applied on Site; and
- Measures to control particular aspect of construction or operation of the Development.

Appropriate mitigation measures are discussed within each technical chapter as relevant.

In line with the mitigation hierarchy identified in NPF4, the strategy of avoidance, reduction, and remediation is a hierarchical one, which seeks:

- First to avoid potential effects;
- Then to reduce those which remain; and
- Lastly, where no other measures are possible, to propose compensatory measures.

Where appropriate, additional environmental enhancements (improvements to the environment above those required to reduce adverse effects) have also been embedded into the Development design.

Later assessments make the assumption that these measures are integrated into the design and construction practices as 'embedded mitigation'. The design process is expanded on in Chapter 3: Site Selection and Design.

#### 2.11.2 Monitoring

Where potentially significant adverse effects have been identified and mitigation has been proposed to limit them, monitoring will be undertaken where required to verify that mitigation measures achieve their intended outcomes. This allows for early intervention and implementation of suitable remediation measures if required. Where monitoring is to take place this is discussed within the relevant technical chapter.

#### 2.12 **RESIDUAL EFFECTS**

Where potential for significant effects is identified, additional mitigation measures will be proposed, where practicable, to avoid, prevent, reduce or, if possible, offset the likely significant adverse effects to acceptable levels. Residual effects following the adoption of additional mitigation will then be assessed to conclude the residual effect significance.

Likely residual effects on the environment are identified within each technical chapter alongside an assessment of their significance. Effects are considered to be significant for the purposes of the EIA Regulations where the effect is classified as being of 'major' or 'moderate' significance.



# 2.13 CUMULATIVE EFFECTS

In accordance with Schedule 4 paragraph 5 (e) of the EIA Regulations, cumulative effects have been considered. By definition, these are effects that result from incremental changes caused by past, present or reasonably foreseeable developments together with the Development being assessed. This includes the combination of effects from the Development and from other existing or approved schemes in its vicinity which could act together to generate elevated levels of effects.

Cumulative assessment addresses the combined effects from the addition of the Development to a baseline of identified wind farms on landscape and visual, hydrology, ecology, ornithology, noise, cultural heritage, traffic and transport, socio-economics, recreation, tourism and other miscellaneous issues such as shadow flicker.

The EIA considers the potential of likely significant effects on the environment resulting from committed developments. The extent of any cumulative assessment is defined in each technical assessment chapter and can include both existing and proposed wind farm developments and other forms of development. Where no cumulative effects are likely, this is stated.

Operational wind farms are considered to be part of the baseline in the majority of assessments. Other developments which may come forward in the future, but which do not currently have sufficient information available in relation to their likely effects to make an informed cumulative assessment (e.g., those within Scoping), are not considered in detail in this EIAR.

# 2.14 ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITATIONS

General assumptions and limitations are presented below; any topic specific assumptions or limitations are presented in the topic chapters:

- The assessments assume the baseline conditions at the time of the EIA preparation unless otherwise stated in the topic chapter. Due to the dynamic nature of the environment, conditions may change during the site preparation, construction and operational phases;
- Assessments are based on published sources of information and primary data collection, as outlined in Section 5.5, unless otherwise stated. Sources are provided as necessary. These are assumed to be correct at time of submission;
- Assessments are based on the description of the Development, as provided in Chapter 4;
- It is assumed that current surrounding land uses do not change, with the exception of the committed and reasonably foreseeable developments identified; and
- The consent/planning permission, if granted, will include conditions that will be sufficient to secure the mitigation and enhancement measures to ensure that the Development is acceptable in planning terms.